

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/070,622	Applicant(s) KOHLER ET AL.
	Examiner José A Fortuna	Art Unit 1731

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) José A Fortuna.

(3) ____.

(2) Richard P. Silverman.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2003**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 12, 17 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicants' representative to propose an amendment in order to put the application in condition for allowance. Specifically, the examiner proposed deleting the word "of" defining the second group of polyethylene, line 8 of claim 1; deleting "a crosslinking catalyst" as part of the wet strength agents, since they are not wet strength agents per se, they just help in the crosslinking of the wet strength agents. Also it was suggested to insert a space between several words in different claims, where the space was omitted, see examiner's amendment. Also it was suggested to delete the word "essentially" from claim 12 since the independent claim was closed ended for those compounds. Mr. Silverman agreed with all the proposed changes.